



Gendered Dynamics of Marriage Regulation: in Colonial Natal's Indenture System

Authors

Vidarshna Mehrotra

Editor

Madhulina Sonowal



www.mandonnaresearch.com



info@mandonnaresearch.com




Mandonna Research Consultants



CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Marriage Dynamics and Colonial Policies in Natal (1860-1872)	3
Shift Towards Codification and Reform: 1872-1887	7
The Legislative Shift of 1891: Intervention in Indian Marriages	10
The Legislative Shift of 1891: Intervention in Indian Marriages	
Conclusion	14
References	16



ABSTRACT

This paper explores the gendered dynamics of marriage regulation within the British colonial context of Natal's indentured system, focusing on the period from the 1860s to 1891. The paper aims to examine the complex interplay of colonial governance, societal perceptions, and gender roles in shaping marriage practices among Indian indentured labourers. Initially marked by a reluctance to interfere in Indian customs, colonial policies gradually shifted towards interventionist policies, culminating in the enactment of Law 25 of 1891. This legislation aimed to regulate Indian marriages under civil law, ostensibly to improve moral standards and align familial structures with settler norms. However, the legislative journey reflects a deeply gendered approach, perpetuating patriarchal control and inequalities within Indian communities. While ostensibly offering avenues for women to escape abusive marriages, such interventions often reinforced existing power dynamics and marginalised women's agency. This paper aims to analyse the complexities of colonial governance, cultural autonomy, and gender dynamics inherent in the regulation of Indian marriages in Natal's indenture system.

INTRODUCTION

The institution of marriage within the context of British colonialism and the indenture system underwent significant scrutiny and regulation, particularly in the British Colony of Natal where Indian indentured labourers were transported since 1860. British abolitionists and Indian nationalists both denounced the indenture system for promoting gender discrimination, viewing it as morally wrong. In response, the British Indian government established an obligatory quota for the recruitment of female labourers in 1855, with Natal receiving a 50% quota. However, this limit was seldom met owing to deeply ingrained home conventions which discouraged women's departure. As a result, there was a lack of women on Natal's plantations, which had a significant influence on the dynamics of marital life among indentured labourers. This gender disparity significantly influenced marital relationships and family structures within the indenture system. The scarcity of women exacerbated competition among male labourers, leading to heightened tensions and conflicts. Moreover, it engendered a range of coping mechanisms and adaptations among indentured labourers, including the formation of non-traditional family arrangements and the utilization of alternative social networks for companionship and support.

Against this backdrop, the Natal government's shifting policies regarding the regulation of marriages among indentured labourers further complicated the landscape. Initially adopting a stance of non-interference, the government eventually transitioned to comprehensive civil regulation, intervening in various aspects of Indian custom. This transformation reflected broader colonial ideologies of morality and control, raising questions about the balance between colonial governance and cultural autonomy. It also raised serious questions about the underlying circumstances and 'intentions' of the colonial rule. While existing ideological currents throughout the British Empire about Indian customs likely had an impact, the paper

contends that local necessities and gender dynamics played equally important roles. Marriage control functioned not just to protect the "morality" of the indenture system, but also to impose colonial authority over indigenous traditions, thereby cementing power dynamics in favour of the colonial government.

Given these complexities surrounding marriage regulations, colonial governance, and cultural norms, this paper investigates the gendered dynamics of marriage within the indenture system. The first segment, spanning from 1862 to 1872, scrutinises the challenges faced by colonial administrators in overseeing Indian marriages through customary law. Here, the focus is on the difficulties encountered and the limitations imposed by the prevailing legal and cultural contexts. The second section delves into the ramifications of the Coolie Consideration Law of 1872 and the Natal government's initiatives in intervening in the marriage systems of indentured labourers. Through an examination of this legislative framework and the experimental approaches adopted by the Natal administration, the paper sheds light on the evolving landscape of marriage regulation during this period. Finally, the paper provides a contextual analysis of the Natal government's intervention in Indian marriage customs, as evidenced by Law 25 of 1891. It delves into the underlying motives and ideological underpinnings driving this legislative intervention, revealing the civilizing impulses that informed the imposition of regulatory measures on marriage practices among indentured labourers.

MARRIAGE DYNAMICS AND COLONIAL POLICIES IN NATAL (1860-1872)

Marriage, far from being a mere social institution, served as a complex and emotionally charged arena within the Indian community in Natal during the late 19th century. A focal point for struggles over access to women and their domestic labour, marriages often resulted in tragic outcomes such as assault, suicide, and even murder, posing a

significant moral crisis for colonial officials. An investigation into a suicide case in 1864, for instance, shed light on the plight of unmarried men, like Mukhendey, who faced taunts and ostracization from married individuals on the estate where they worked. Such single men found themselves in precarious positions, unable to compete for access to women who were already considered 'married' to others on the estate (Nefisa, 2005). Thus, as the 1860s progressed and more labourers completed their initial terms of indenture, incidences of violence and despair among indentured and ex-indentured Indians escalated.

Marriage, in the eyes of colonial officials, was perceived as a means to exert male authority and control over women. The Coolie Commissioners and employers believed that 'unattached' women posed a moral problem, often associating them with prostitution and the spread of venereal diseases. There existed a sexual double standard- on one hand, women were shunned for sexual immorality. At the same time, the other, they were seen as essential for fulfilling the sexual and domestic needs of Indian men in Natal. While some officials expressed hopes of promoting family stability through marriage, many emphasized the necessity of satisfying Indian men's sexual desires to prevent or eradicate immorality, thereby revealing a glaring hypocrisy in their approach. Rhoda Reddock and Patricia Mohammed have provided insightful perspectives on the intricate dynamics of gender, labour, and marriage during the era of indentured labour migration in colonial Trinidad (Nefisa, 2005). They suggest that the imbalanced sex ratio among indentured labourers, where women were scarce, may have paradoxically granted them greater agency over their labour and sexuality compared to situations with more equitable sex ratios. In societies where women are scarce, they often hold greater value and bargaining power in social and economic exchanges. In the context of indentured labour, where women were in high demand for various roles, including domestic work and companionship, they may have been able to negotiate better working

conditions or exercise more control over their labour arrangements. Additionally, the scarcity of women could have empowered them to assert their sexual autonomy and make choices regarding relationships and marriage more freely, as their presence was highly sought after. This dynamic might have provided some women with a degree of leverage and autonomy within the constraints of the indenture system, allowing them to navigate their circumstances with greater agency than they might have in situations where women were more abundant and thus less valued.

Another notable aspect highlighted by Reddock and Mohammed is the larger colonial legal framework surrounding marriage, which mirrored patterns observed in Natal. Since colonial law only recognized Christian marriages or those officiated by authorized civil servants like the Protector of Immigrants, no marriages were officially registered in Trinidad until 1887. Even after registration began, very few unions between Indian men and women adhered to colonial legal standards. This discrepancy, according to Reddock, contributed to the perception of Indian immigrants as promiscuous and morally questionable by colonial authorities. Moreover, the Natal government also adopted a customary law system to regulate the marriages of Indian indentured labourers which largely stemmed from practical considerations, primarily the temporary nature of their residence in the Colony. However, public sentiment in Natal during the 1860s and early 1870s strongly favoured non-interference in Indian customs given the fears stemming from the aftermath of the Indian Mutiny of 1857. Such fears were often voiced in local media and legislative debates which cautioned against rash interference with longstanding customs that could provoke unrest among Indians. Furthermore, the colonial administration's handling of Indian customs, in comparison to native Kaffir customs, was highly influenced by an Orientalist perspective which prioritized the preservation of cultural integrity. This viewpoint was evident during discussions about imposing the 'hut tax' on Natal's Indian population, akin to taxation policies

targeting native Kaffirs. Colonial Secretary Charles Mitchell's decision not to extend the tax to Indians illustrated such a stance, emphasizing the importance of respecting the cultural practices of Indian communities.

However, despite theoretical support for the customary law system, Natal's colonial administrators faced practical challenges in its implementation, as highlighted by the Coolie Commission Report of 1872. This report, initiated following complaints from returning labourers, emphasized the necessity for civil legislation to address significant challenges within the customary law system, particularly concerning coolie marriages. Chief among these challenges was the difficulty in ascertaining the validity of marriages, especially in cases of informal unions lacking customary rites as proof of validity. Moreover, the system often did not account for mixed inter-religious and inter-caste marriages, further complicating matters and hindering the administration of justice, leaving many grievances unresolved. Marriages conducted by Hindu and Muslim clergy also did not receive legal recognition in Trinidad until much later, with Hindu marriages being recognized in 1936 and Muslim marriages in 1945, despite Indians advocating for recognition since the late 1870s. Reddock and Mohammed argue that Indian men, by campaigning for recognition of these marriages according to their religious customs, sought to reclaim the patriarchal authority they had lost due to emigration and indentured labour. However, Madhavi Kale challenges this perspective, suggesting that assuming pre-migration conditions of domestic-patriarchal and rural-agrarian bondage for Indian indentured women perpetuates stereotypes about the static nature of Indian culture and people, which have been present since the mid-nineteenth century (Kale, 1998).

Nonetheless, the rise of derogatory stereotypes characterizing Indian marriages as 'loose' and 'immoral' intensified dissatisfaction

with the customary law system. Highlighted in the Coolie Commission Report, there were incidents of suicide, rape, adultery, and domestic violence among Indian indentured labourers, presenting a troubling portrayal of marital experiences in Natal. These adverse perceptions, combined with apprehensions regarding the low social status of numerous labourers, spurred demands for greater civil legislation to confront these perceived moral deficiencies. By 1872, perceptions regarding the social status of coolies in Natal were influencing discussions about the necessity for civil legislation concerning their marriages. Correspondence between J. Nugent, a Resident Magistrate in Natal, and the Attorney General in 1872, highlighted these sentiments. Nugent indicated that many emigrants, particularly those of low caste and Muslims, may not have a deep understanding of their religious doctrines. Such a portrayal suggested that migrants were not overly concerned with adhering strictly to caste customs or religious practices in a foreign land. Consequently, there was a diminishing urgency to fully respect their customs, weakening the argument for non-interference policies.

Simultaneously, concerns outlined in the Coolie Commission Report of 1872 and grievances expressed by returning indentured labourers regarding the quality of married life in Natal posed a threat to the continuation of indenture to the colony. These issues were central to debates in India concerning the potential resumption of indenture to Natal, initially halted due to an economic downturn. Despite the Natal government's reliance on customary law to regulate Indian indentured labourers' marriages, frustration with the system's ambiguity and complexity led to a desire for civil legislation.

SHIFT TOWARDS CODIFICATION AND REFORM: 1872-1887

During the 1870s and 1880s, colonial reports consistently highlighted the shortcomings of the civil registration system, particularly

regarding Indian marriages. The Wragg Commission Report of 1885–1887, commissioned by the Natal Colonial Secretary in response to complaints of mistreatment by labourers, extensively discussed the deficiencies in the law governing Indian marriages and divorces. Such marriage laws were often viewed as mere gestures to appease the Indian government and failed to effectively address the real concerns regarding marriage validity. Colonial administrators often struggled with the interpretation and application of Indian customary law, while the Natal government hesitated to provide clear definitions of Indian customs or 'Indian marriages.' Over time, administrators' attitudes towards coolies and their marriages soured, with the once-idealized view of Indian customs losing its significance.

As such, this report, spanning three hundred and eighty pages, emphasized the urgent need for policy reforms, advocating for increased civil legislation in this area. A major concern outlined in the report was Section 14 of the Coolie Consolidation Law of 1872, which mandated proof of a customary wedding ceremony for Indian couples to register and legitimize their marriages. It highlighted the challenges posed by such requirements, especially for mixed marriages and how there was no feasible way to fulfil this obligation for both parties involved in the union. As a result, many marriages couldn't meet this requirement, leading to complications in resolving marital disputes under the Protector's Office. Driven by frustration with the limitations of Section 14, colonial administrators urged for amendments to establish civil registration, independent of any ceremonial proof, as conclusive evidence of marriage. Both the Wragg Commission Report and the Protector's Annual Report for 1887 echoed this sentiment, proposing that marriage validity should solely rely on registration, irrespective of preceding ceremonies. Such a shift was deemed crucial, especially for couples from varied backgrounds, where a civil contract would offer a more practical and universally acceptable solution.

Following the recommendations of the Coolie Commission Report, the Coolie Consolidation Law of 1872 finally implemented two significant

measures. Firstly, it mandated the registration of all Indian marriages and women in Natal, with immigrants required to register within a month under penalty of a five-pound fine for late registration. Secondly, the law redefined the role of the Coolie Agent as the Protector of Immigrants, granting expanded powers concerning Indian marriages. Thus, the late 19th-century Annual Report and Wragg Commission Report signalled a notable shift in the approach to legitimizing laws regarding Indian marriages, favouring the codification of regulations. Initially, emphasis was placed on the marriage ceremony as evidence of a binding agreement, rooted in Indian custom. However, by 1887, documents indicated a departure from customary practices, with a preference for the civil contract as the binding element.

Furthermore, the Commission aimed to reduce the discretionary power of the Protector of Immigrants, noting instances of jurisdictional overstepping, particularly concerning divorce cases. It advocated for clearer legislation specifying grounds for divorce and recommended that Resident Magistrates, rather than the Protector, handle matrimonial suits. These suggestions reflected a push towards decisive civil legislation to address various aspects of Indian marriages, indicating a growing momentum towards increased codification of marriage laws. However, when it came to the effectiveness of such measures, it was realised that only a small fraction of marriages were registered given the lack of awareness or disincentives among people like late penalties. This failure to enforce the law undermined its purpose of validating marriages, prompting administrators to seek the integration of Indian marriage regulation into civil law as a more practical solution.

The narrative portrays a complex interplay of power dynamics, cultural norms, and administrative interventions that significantly impact women within the indentured labourer community. This narrative reflects broader colonial attitudes towards gender, sexuality,

and race, reinforcing notions of white superiority and the need for paternalistic intervention to control and regulate the behaviours of wives. The emphasis on rectifying the negative image of Indian marriages reflects concerns about the well-being and status of women within these unions.

However, colonial interventions aimed at regulating Indian marriages also raise questions about agency and autonomy, particularly regarding the registration and validation of marriages. The requirement for proof of customary wedding ceremonies poses challenges for mixed marriages and those not conforming to traditional norms, potentially disenfranchising women in non-conventional unions. Moreover, the failure of civil registration to effectively address the issues faced by women, such as domestic abuse or abandonment, underscores the limitations of administrative measures in safeguarding their rights and well-being.

Furthermore, the discourse surrounding venereal disease and prostitution perpetuates stereotypes about the morality and behaviour of Indian women, framing them as vectors of immorality and disease. This narrative reflects broader colonial attitudes towards gender, sexuality, and race, reinforcing notions of white superiority and the need for paternalistic intervention to control and regulate the behaviours of indigenous populations.

THE LEGISLATIVE SHIFT OF 1891: INTERVENTION IN INDIAN MARRIAGES

Between 1872 and 1887, attempts to introduce civil legislation regarding Indian marriages were often met with continual obstacles in the Legislative Assembly. Especially in the early 1880s, the Indian Immigrants Divorce Bill encountered rejection multiple times, highlighting the Assembly's struggle to define Indian marriage and its reluctance to intervene. It wasn't until 1891 that significant progress

was made in passing civil legislation concerning Indian marriages. This delay in legislative action can be attributed to several factors. During the 1880s, colonial administrators and settlers increasingly held negative perceptions toward Indian indentured labourers and their marital practices, driven by concerns over perceived immoral behaviour, such as substance abuse and domestic violence. Additionally, the prevalence of venereal disease in the Colony heightened anxieties, often attributed to the perceived promiscuity of female indentured labourers. Tightening regulations on Indian marriages emerged as a proposed solution to curb this epidemic, with a focus on implementing divorce provisions and establishing clearer definitions of marriage. The recommendations of the Wragg Commission Report echoed these concerns, advocating for measures to improve the moral integrity of Indian marriages, such as enforcing minimum marriage ages and penalizing fraudulent marital practices. Despite the increasing momentum toward intervention in Indian customs, it took until 1891 for legislation to be successfully enacted, signifying a significant shift in colonial policy toward regulating Indian marriages.

Sections 76 and 83 of the law demonstrated a moralistic approach embedded in Natal's legislative handling of Indian marriages. Section 76 allowed both men and women to seek divorce under specific circumstances, while Section 83 outlined conditions for marriage annulment.

This legislation, commonly known as the Indian Immigration Law of 1891, introduced a comprehensive framework governing various aspects of personal law, including marriage contracts, divorce, and the age of consent. Notably, the law departed from customary Indian practices, aiming to align familial structures within the Indian community with the moral standards of Natal's settler population. Central to this legislative intervention was the prohibition of polygamy, a practice considered morally reprehensible by settler

standards but prevalent within Indian customary law. By outlawing polygamous unions and promoting monogamous marriages, legislators sought to instil a sense of moral progress and 'civilisation' within the Indian community, albeit through the imposition of external values. In addition to prohibiting polygamy, Law 25 of 1891 also introduced provisions for divorce, providing avenues for individuals, particularly women, to dissolve unhappy or abusive marriages. This departure from traditional Indian customs, which often viewed marriages as indissoluble, reflected the influence of settler morality and paternalistic attitudes towards familial relations. By permitting divorce on specific grounds such as adultery or desertion, legislators aimed to address immoral behaviours within marital relationships and provide recourse for individuals trapped in unhappy unions. However, while these provisions may have sought to empower women within the Indian community, they also reflected the imposition of external legal frameworks onto indigenous customs, raising questions about cultural autonomy and the balance between moral intervention and colonial authority.

“Munasamy had two wives Thogi and Tuluknem. On the first of March 1899, under section 18 of Law 25, 1891, one of his wives Tuluknem was notified by the Natal Government for nullification of her marriage with Munasamy. At this time she was a mother to three children. Her marriage was declared illegal, on the grounds that, when she got married while Munasamy's first wife was alive. In another case, the union of Ramaswamy and Poly who lived together [not married lawfully according to the colonial government], had two small children, was regarded as illegal. Ramaswamy was arrested. After that, nothing further was heard about Poly. Ramaswamy even was not able to report her because they were not legally married. Consequently, it was assumed by the colonial officer that Poly became a Prostitute. Thus in the absence of registration, their marriage became illegal. And in this situation planters, sirdar and overseers abused women, against

which the women could not even complain because they were not married according to their laws. Thus they were considered as concubines, corrupt, immoral or even as criminals. Natal marriage laws represented these women as a social evil, which degraded their position even in the eyes of their own people.” (Jha, 2010)

The story of Munasamy, Tuluknem, Ramaswamy, and Poly reflects the impact of Natal's Indian Immigration Law of 1891, which aimed to impose colonial moral standards on Indian marriages. Furthermore, the inability of individuals like Poly to seek legal protection exposes the power dynamics inherent in colonial legal systems, which are often marginalised from the strict enforcement of monogamous norms. Similarly, Ramaswamy and Poly's union being deemed illegal led to Ramaswamy's arrest and Poly's assumed descent into prostitution, highlighting the vulnerability of those whose marriages did not conform to colonial standards. This narrative unveils the broader repercussions of Natal's marriage laws on the status and treatment of women within the Indian community, perpetuating negative stereotypes and undermining their autonomy. Furthermore, the inability of individuals like Poly to seek legal protection exposes the power dynamics inherent in colonial legal systems, which often marginalised indigenous customs. Furthermore, Law 25 of 1891 established a minimum age for marriage, aligning it with settler laws and making illegal the prevalent practice of child marriage within the Indian community. This provision aimed to protect young girls from exploitation and ensure that marriages were entered into consensually and with maturity. However, the imposition of minimum age requirements resulted more from the paternalistic attitudes towards indigenous customs rather than a genuine effort towards improving the lives of women within the Indian community. This underscored the complex dynamics of power, morality, and cultural autonomy inherent in colonial legal interventions.

The implementation of Law 25 of 1891 in Natal undoubtedly marked a significant shift in the regulation of Indian marriages, ostensibly aimed at aligning them with settler moral standards. However, assessing its impact on the lives of women reveals a nuanced picture. While the law ostensibly aimed to protect women by prohibiting polygamy and providing avenues for divorce, its effectiveness in improving the lives of women is debatable. On one hand, the prohibition of polygamy theoretically protected women from being part of multiple marriages, which could have alleviated some instances of marital strife and financial strain. Additionally, the provision for divorce may have offered an escape route from abusive or unhappy marriages for some women. However, the stringent conditions for divorce, such as proving adultery or continuous desertion, may have rendered it inaccessible to many women in practice. Moreover, the establishment of a minimum age for marriage aimed to protect girls from early betrothals, but it may not have addressed the underlying socio-cultural factors driving child marriages. Therefore, while Law 25 of 1891 may have had some positive intentions in improving the lives of women, its actual impact on their well-being would have depended on various socio-economic and cultural factors, making it challenging to ascertain its effectiveness in bringing substantial positive change to their lives.

CONCLUSION

The evolution of legislative interventions regarding Indian marriages in Natal from the 1860s to 1891 underscores a profoundly gendered dynamic within colonial governance and societal perceptions. Initially, colonial policies exhibited a reluctance to interfere in Indian customs, influenced by Orientalist perspectives and fears stemming from the Indian Mutiny of 1857. This reluctance, however, masked a deeper power imbalance and patriarchal control within Indian communities, particularly concerning marriage and gender roles. As concerns over perceived immorality and social instability grew, fueled by incidents

of violence and despair among indentured labourers, the colonial government gradually shifted towards interventionist policies. This shift towards interventionism, culminating in the enactment of Law 25 of 1891, aimed to regulate Indian marriages under civil law, ostensibly to improve the moral integrity of Indian unions. However, this legislative journey was deeply entrenched in gendered dynamics and power struggles.

Sections 76 and 83 of Law 25 exemplify this gendered approach, permitting divorce and marriage nullification under specific grounds, effectively enforcing settler standards of morality within Indian communities. While ostensibly aimed at improving the lives of women and promoting moral stability, these interventions often perpetuated patriarchal control and inequalities within Indian marriages. For instance, the provision for divorce under specific grounds such as adultery or continuous desertion may have provided an avenue for women to escape from abusive or unhappy marriages. However, the stringent conditions for divorce, coupled with societal stigma surrounding divorced women, may have limited women's ability to exercise this option freely. This could have resulted in women being trapped in unhappy or even abusive marriages, reinforcing patriarchal control over their lives. Moreover, the colonial project to 'civilize' Indian customs and align them with settler norms reflected a paternalistic attitude towards indigenous practices, disregarding traditional Indian patriarchal structures. This paternalism reinforced existing gender hierarchies and marginalized the agency of Indian women within their communities.

Overall, the legislative journey from non-interference to intervention in Indian marriage customs reveals the intricate interplay between colonial governance, societal perceptions, and gender dynamics. While driven by purported moral imperatives, these interventions ultimately reflected and perpetuated colonial power dynamics and gender inequalities within Indian communities.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, Clare. *Convicts in the Indian Ocean: Transportation from South Asia to Mauritius, 1815-53*. Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2000.
- Carter, Marina. *Voices from Indenture!: Experiences of Indian Migrants in the British Empire*. Leicester University Press, 1996.
- Desai, Ashwin. *Inside Indian Indenture!: A South African Story, 1860-1914*. HSRC Press, 2010. .
- Faruquee, Ashrufa. "Conceiving the Coolie Woman!: Indentured Labour, Indian Women and Colonial Discourse." *South Asia Research*, vol. 16, no. 1, 1996, pp. 61-76.
- Govinden, Devarakshanam. "The Indentured Experience: Women in Colonial Natal." In *India in Africa, Africa in India: Indian Ocean Cosmopolitanisms*, edited by John C. Hawley, 55-76. Indiana University Press, 2008.
- Green, William A. "Emancipation to Indenture: A Question of Imperial Morality." *Journal of British Studies*, vol. 22, no. 2, 1983, pp. 98-121. JSTOR, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/175675>.
- Hassankhan, M.H. *Social and Cultural Dimensions of Indian Indentured Labour and Its Diaspora: Past and Present*. Taylor & Francis, 2016.
- Hiralal, Kalpana. "Gendered migrations: A comparative study of indentured and nonindentured immigrants to South Africa 1860-1930" *Diaspora Studies*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2015, pp. 1-12.
- Jha, Madhawi. "Professor P.S. Gupta Memorial Prize & J.C. Jha Prize: ENACTING MARRIAGE LAWS: REGULATING WOMEN'S RIGHT AND

SEXUALITY IN NATAL 1860-1913.” Proceedings of the Indian History Congress, vol. 70, 2009, pp. 846–859.

- Kale, Madhavi. *Fragments of Empire: Capital, Slavery, and Indian Indentured Labor in the British Caribbean*. Ukraine: University of Pennsylvania Press, Incorporated, 2010.
- Lambert, David (et. all). *Empire and Mobility in the Long Nineteenth Century*. Manchester University Press, 2020
- Manicom, Linzi. "Ruling Relations: Rethinking State and Gender in South African History." *The Journal of African History*.
- Meer, Y.S. *Documents of Indentured Labour: Natal 1851-1917*. Institute of Black Research, 1980.
- Northrup, David. *Indentured Labor in the Age of Imperialism, 1834-1922*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- Pande, Amba. *Indentured and Post-Indentured Experiences of Women in the Indian Diaspora*. Springer Nature Singapore, 2020.
- Sen, Sunanda. "Indentured Labour from India in the Age of Empire." *Social Scientist*, vol. 44, no. 1/2, 2016, pp. 35–74. JSTOR, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24890231>.
- Tayal, M. "Indian Indentured Labour in Natal, 1890-1911." *The Indian Economic & Social History Review*, vol. 14, no. 4, 1977, pp. 519-547.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Vidarshna Mehrotra is a 3rd-year student at Lady Shri Ram College for Women, studying History

ABOUT THE EDITOR

Madhulina Sonowal is a 3rd-year student at Lady Shri Ram College for Women, studying Political Science.

ABOUT MANDONNA

Mandonna is a research company that specialises in producing publications and enabling critical reasoning in the field of gender studies by providing research consultancy services. We work on the motto of "**Sapere Aude**" which means "Having the courage to use your own reason". Our mission is to empower a comprehensive understanding of gender in all walks of life, by making research a powerful tool, available to all.

To know more, visit www.mandonnaresearch.com



All Rights Reserved

May 2024